



# Navier-Stokes equations in arbitrary domains: the Fujita-Kato scheme

Sylvie Monniaux

## ► To cite this version:

Sylvie Monniaux. Navier-Stokes equations in arbitrary domains: the Fujita-Kato scheme. Mathematical Research Letters, 2006, 13 (3), pp.455-461. hal-00535671

**HAL Id: hal-00535671**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00535671>**

Submitted on 12 Nov 2010

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS IN ARBITRARY DOMAINS : THE FUJITA-KATO SCHEME

SYLVIE MONNIAUX

ABSTRACT. Navier-Stokes equations are investigated in a functional setting in 3D open sets  $\Omega$ , bounded or not, without assuming any regularity of the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . The main idea is to find a correct definition of the Stokes operator in a suitable Hilbert space of divergence-free vectors and apply the Fujita-Kato method, a fixed point procedure, to get a local strong solution.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Since the pioneering work by Leray [3] in 1934, there have been several studies on solutions of Navier-Stokes equations

$$(NS) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{lll} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + \nabla \pi + (u \cdot \nabla)u & = & 0 \quad \text{in } ]0, T[ \times \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} u & = & 0 \quad \text{in } ]0, T[ \times \Omega, \\ u & = & 0 \quad \text{on } ]0, T[ \times \partial\Omega, \\ u(0) & = & u_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \end{array} \right.$$

Fujita and Kato [2] in 1964 gave a method to construct so called mild solutions in smooth domains  $\Omega$ , producing local (in time) smooth solutions of  $(NS)$  in a Hilbert space setting. These solutions are global in time if the initial value  $u_0$  is small enough in a certain sense. The case of non smooth domains has been studied by Deuring and von Wahl [1] in 1995 where they considered domains  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  with Lipschitz boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . They found local smooth solutions using results contained in Shen's PhD thesis [4]. Their method does not cover the critical space case as in [2]. One of the difficulty there was to understand the Stokes operator, and in particular its domain of definition.

In Section 2, we give a “universal” definition of the Stokes operator, for any domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  (Definition 2.3). In Section 3, we construct a mild solution of  $(NS)$  with a method similar to Fujita-Kato's [2] (Theorem 3.2) for initial values  $u_0$  in the critical space  $D(A^{\frac{1}{4}})$ . We show in Section 4 that this mild solution is a strong solution, *i.e.*  $(NS)$  is satisfied almost everywhere.

## 2. THE STOKES OPERATOR

Let  $\Omega$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . The space

$$L^2(\Omega)^3 = \{u = (u_1, u_2, u_3); u_i \in L^2(\Omega), i = 1, 2, 3\}$$

endowed with the scalar product

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} u \cdot \bar{v} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\Omega} u_i \bar{v}_i$$

is a Hilbert space. Define

$$\mathcal{G} = \{\nabla p; p \in L^2_{loc}(\Omega) \text{ and } \nabla p \in L^2(\Omega)^3\};$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35Q10, 76D05 ; Secondary 35A15.

the set  $\mathcal{G}$  is a closed subspace of  $L^2(\Omega)^3$ . Let

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{G}^\perp = \{u \in L^2(\Omega)^3; \langle u, \nabla p \rangle = 0, \forall p \in H^1(\Omega)\}.$$

The space  $\mathcal{H}$ , endowed with the scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is a Hilbert space. We have the following Hodge decomposition

$$L^2(\Omega)^3 = \mathcal{H} \oplus^\perp \mathcal{G}.$$

We denote by  $\mathbb{P}$  the projection from  $L^2(\Omega)^3$  onto  $\mathcal{H}$  :  $\mathbb{P}$  is the usual Helmholtz projection. We denote by  $J$  the canonical injection  $\mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)^3$  :  $J' = \mathbb{P}$  ( $J'$  being the adjoint of  $J$ ) and  $\mathbb{P}J$  is the identity on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let now  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)^3 = \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\Omega)^3$  and

$$\mathcal{D} = \{u \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)^3; \operatorname{div} u = 0\}.$$

It is clear that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)^3$ . We denote by  $J_0 : \mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Omega)^3$  the canonical injection :  $J_0 \subset J$ . Let  $\mathbb{P}_1$  be the adjoint of  $J_0$  :  $\mathbb{P}_1 = J_0' : \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)^3 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ . We have  $\mathbb{P}_1 \subset \mathbb{P}$ . The following theorem characterizes the elements in  $\ker \mathbb{P}_1$ .

**Theorem 2.1** (de Rahm). *Let  $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)^3$  such that  $\mathbb{P}_1 T = 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}'$ . Then there exists  $S \in (\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\Omega))'$  such that  $T = \nabla S$ . Conversely, if  $T = \nabla S$  with  $S \in (\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\Omega))'$ , then  $\mathbb{P}_1 T = 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}'$ .*

We denote by  $H_0^1(\Omega)^3$  the closure of  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)^3$  with respect to the scalar product  $(u, v) \mapsto \langle u, v \rangle_1 = \langle u, v \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \partial_i u, \partial_i v \rangle$ . By Sobolev embeddings, we have  $H_0^1(\Omega)^3 \hookrightarrow L^6(\Omega)^3$ . Define

$$\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{H} \cap H_0^1(\Omega)^3.$$

The space  $\mathcal{V}$  is a closed subspace of  $H_0^1(\Omega)^3$ ; endowed with the scalar product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$ ,  $\mathcal{V}$  is a Hilbert space. The canonical injection  $\tilde{J} : \mathcal{V} \hookrightarrow H_0^1(\Omega)^3$  is the restriction of  $J$  to  $\mathcal{V}$ . Let  $H^{-1}(\Omega)^3 = (H_0^1(\Omega)^3)'$ ;  $\mathbb{P}_1$  maps  $H^{-1}(\Omega)^3$  to  $\mathcal{V}'$  : the restriction of  $\mathbb{P}_1$  to  $H^{-1}(\Omega)^3$  is  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ , the adjoint of  $\tilde{J}$ . On  $\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$  we define now the form  $a$  by

$$a(u, v) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \partial_i \tilde{J} u, \partial_i \tilde{J} v \rangle : a \text{ is a bilinear, symmetric, } \delta + a \text{ is a coercive form on}$$

$\mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$  for all  $\delta > 0$ , then defines a bounded self-adjoint operator  $A_0 : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'$  by  $(A_0 u)(v) = a(u, v)$  with  $\delta + A_0$  invertible for all  $\delta > 0$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *For all  $u \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $A_0 u = \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(-\Delta_D^\Omega) \tilde{J} u$ , where  $\Delta_D^\Omega$  denotes the Dirichlet-Laplacian on  $H_0^1(\Omega)^3$ .*

*Proof.* For all  $u, v \in \mathcal{V}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (A_0 u)(v) &\stackrel{(1)}{=} a(u, v) \stackrel{(2)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^3 \langle \partial_i \tilde{J} u, \partial_i \tilde{J} v \rangle \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{=} \langle (-\Delta_D^\Omega) \tilde{J} u, \tilde{J} v \rangle_{H^{-1}, H_0^1} \\ &\stackrel{(4)}{=} \langle \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(-\Delta_D^\Omega) \tilde{J} u, v \rangle_{\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{V}}. \end{aligned}$$

The first two equalities come from the definition of  $A_0$  and  $a$ . The third equality comes from the definition of the Dirichlet-Laplacian on  $H_0^1(\Omega)^3$  and the fact that for  $v \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $\tilde{J} v = v$ . The last equality is due to  $\tilde{J}' \varphi = \tilde{\mathbb{P}} \varphi$  in  $\mathcal{V}'$  for all  $\varphi \in H^{-1}(\Omega)^3$ . This shows that  $A_0 u$  and  $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(-\Delta_D^\Omega) \tilde{J} u$  are two continuous linear forms on  $\mathcal{V}$  which coincide on  $\mathcal{V}$ , they are then equal.  $\square$

**Definition 2.3.** The operator  $A$  defined on its domain  $D(A) = \{u \in \mathcal{V}; A_0 u \in \mathcal{H}\}$  by  $Au = A_0 u$  is called the Stokes operator.

**Theorem 2.4.** *The Stokes operator is self-adjoint in  $\mathcal{H}$ , generates an analytic semigroup  $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$ ,  $D(A^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \mathcal{V}$  and satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} D(A) &= \{u \in \mathcal{V} ; \exists \pi \in (\mathbb{C}_c^\infty(\Omega))' : \nabla \pi \in H^{-1}(\Omega) \text{ and } -\Delta u + \nabla \pi \in \mathcal{H}\} \\ Au &= -\Delta u + \nabla \pi. \end{aligned}$$

*Remark 2.5.* Since  $H_0^1(\Omega)^3 \hookrightarrow L^6(\Omega)^3$ , it is clear by interpolation and dualization that  $\mathbb{P}_1$  maps  $L^p(\Omega)^3$  to  $D(A^s)'$  for  $\frac{6}{5} \leq p \leq 2$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $s = -\frac{3}{4} + \frac{3}{2p}$ . Since  $A$  is self-adjoint, one has  $(\delta + A_0)^{-s} D(A^s)' = \{(\delta + A_0)^{-s} u; u \in D(A^s)'\} = \mathcal{H}$ . In particular,  $(\delta + A_0)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \mathbb{P}_1$  maps  $L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)^3$  into  $\mathcal{H}$ .

### 3. MILD SOLUTION TO THE NAVIER-STOKES SYSTEM

Let  $T > 0$ .

Define the following Banach space

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_T &= \left\{ u \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; D(A^{\frac{1}{4}}) \cap \mathcal{C}^1([0, T]; D(A^{\frac{1}{4}})) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{such that } \sup_{0 < s < T} \|s^{\frac{1}{4}} A^{\frac{1}{2}} u(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \sup_{0 < s < T} \|s A^{\frac{1}{4}} u'(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}} < \infty \right\} \end{aligned}$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} = \sup_{0 < s < T} \|A^{\frac{1}{4}} u(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \sup_{0 < s < T} \|s^{\frac{1}{4}} A^{\frac{1}{2}} u(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \sup_{0 < s < T} \|s A^{\frac{1}{4}} u'(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Let  $\alpha$  be defined by  $\alpha(t) = e^{-tA} u_0$  where  $u_0 \in D(A^{\frac{1}{4}})$ . Then  $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_T$ . Indeed, it is clear that  $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; D(A^{\frac{1}{4}}))$ . We also have that  $t^{\frac{1}{4}} A^{\frac{1}{2}} \alpha(t) = t^{\frac{1}{4}} A^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{-tA} A^{\frac{1}{4}} u_0$  is bounded on  $(0, T)$  since  $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$  is an analytic semigroup. Moreover, one has  $\alpha'(t) = -A e^{-tA} u_0$  which yields to  $t A^{\frac{1}{4}} \alpha'(t) = -t A e^{-tA} A^{\frac{1}{4}} u_0$  continuous on  $]0, T]$ , bounded in  $\mathcal{H}$ . For  $u, v \in \mathcal{E}_T$ , we define now

$$\Phi(u, v)(t) = \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P}_1\right) ((u(s) \cdot \nabla) v(s) + (v(s) \cdot \nabla) u(s)) ds, \quad 0 < t < T.$$

**Proposition 3.1.** *The transform  $\Phi$  is bilinear, symmetric, continuous from  $\mathcal{E}_T \times \mathcal{E}_T$  to  $\mathcal{E}_T$  and the norm of  $\Phi$  is independent of  $T$ .*

*Proof.* The fact that  $\Phi$  is bilinear and symmetric is clear. Moreover,  $\Phi(u, v) = e^{-\cdot A} * f$ , where  $f$  is defined by

$$f(s) = \left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P}_1\right) ((u(s) \cdot \nabla) v(s) + (v(s) \cdot \nabla) u(s)), \quad s \in [0, T].$$

For  $u, v \in \mathcal{E}_T$ , it is clear that  $(u(s) \cdot \nabla) v(s) + (v(s) \cdot \nabla) u(s) \in L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)^3$  and therefore  $(\delta + A_0)^{-\frac{1}{4}} f(s) \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $\sup_{0 < s < T} s^{\frac{1}{2}} \|(\delta + A_0)^{-\frac{1}{4}} f(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq c \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_T}$ . We have then

$$\Phi(u, v) = e^{-\cdot A} * f = (\delta + A)^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{-\cdot A} * ((\delta + A_0)^{-\frac{1}{4}} f)$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^{\frac{1}{4}} \Phi(u, v)(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} &\leq \int_0^t \|A^{\frac{1}{4}} (\delta + A)^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{-(t-s)A}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})} \|(\delta + A_0)^{-\frac{1}{4}} f(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}} ds \\ &\leq c \left( \int_0^t \frac{1}{\sqrt{t-s}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} ds \right) \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \\ &\leq c \left( \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\sigma}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}} d\sigma \right) \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \\ &\leq c \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_T}. \end{aligned}$$

Continuity with respect to  $t \in [0, T]$  of  $t \mapsto A^{\frac{1}{4}}\Phi(u, v)(t)$  is clear once we have proved the boundedness. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}\Phi(u, v)(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} &\leq \int_0^t \|A^{\frac{1}{2}}(\delta + A)^{\frac{1}{4}}e^{-(t-s)A}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})} \|(\delta + A_0)^{-\frac{1}{4}}f(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}} ds \\ &\leq c \left( \int_0^t \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{3}{4}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} ds \right) \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \\ &\leq ct^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left( \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1-\sigma)^{\frac{3}{4}}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma}} d\sigma \right) \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \\ &\leq ct^{-\frac{1}{4}} \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_T}. \end{aligned}$$

Continuity with respect to  $t \in ]0, T]$  is clear once we have proved the boundedness. To prove the last part of the norm of  $\Phi(u, v)$  in  $\mathcal{E}_T$ , we have for  $s \in ]0, T[$

$$f'(s) = (-\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{P}_1)((u'(s) \cdot \nabla)v(s) + (u(s) \cdot \nabla)v'(s) + (v'(s) \cdot \nabla)u(s) + (v(s) \cdot \nabla)u'(s))$$

and therefore

$$\sup_{0 < s < T} \|s^{\frac{5}{4}}(\delta + A_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}}f'(s)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq c\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T}\|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_T}.$$

We have

$$\Phi(u, v)(t) = \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-sA} f(t-s) ds + \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} e^{-(t-s)A} f(s) ds \quad t \in ]0, T[,$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u, v)'(t) &= e^{-\frac{t}{2}A} f\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) + \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} (\delta + A)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-sA} (\delta + A_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}} f'(t-s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} -A(\delta + A)^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{-(t-s)A} (\delta + A_0)^{-\frac{1}{4}} f(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^{\frac{1}{4}}\Phi(u, v)'(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} &\leq \frac{c}{\sqrt{t}} \|(\delta + A_0)^{-\frac{1}{4}} f\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\|_{\mathcal{H}} + c \left( \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \frac{1}{s^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{3}{4}}} ds \right) \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \\ &\quad + c \left( \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{5}{4}}} \frac{1}{s^{\frac{1}{2}}} ds \right) \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \\ &\leq \frac{c}{t} \left( \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d\sigma}{(1-\sigma)^{\frac{5}{4}} \sigma^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \|v\|_{\mathcal{E}_T}. \end{aligned}$$

This last inequality ensures that  $\Phi(u, v) \in \mathcal{E}_T$  whenever  $u, v \in \mathcal{E}_T$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *For all  $u_0 \in D(A^{\frac{1}{4}})$ , there exists  $T > 0$  such that there exists a unique  $u \in \mathcal{E}_T$  solution of  $u = \alpha + \Phi(u, u)$  on  $[0, T]$ . This function  $u$  is called the mild solution to the Navier-Stokes system.*

*Proof.* Let  $T > 0$ . Since  $\Phi : \mathcal{E}_T \times \mathcal{E}_T \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_T$  is bilinear continuous, it suffices to apply Picard fixed point theorem, as in [2]. The sequence in  $\mathcal{E}_T$   $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  defined by  $v_0 = \alpha$  as first term and

$$v_{n+1} = \alpha + \Phi(v_n, v_n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

converges to the unique solution  $u \in \mathcal{E}_T$  of  $u = \alpha + \Phi(u, u)$  provided  $\|A^{\frac{1}{4}}u_0\|_{\mathcal{H}}$  is small enough ( $\|\alpha\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} < \frac{1}{4\|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_T \times \mathcal{E}_T; \mathcal{E}_T)}}$ ). In the case where  $\|A^{\frac{1}{4}}u_0\|_{\mathcal{H}}$  is not small

(that is, if  $\|\alpha\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \geq \frac{1}{4\|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_T \times \mathcal{E}_T; \mathcal{E}_T)}}$ ) then for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $u_{0,\varepsilon} \in D(A)$  such that  $\|A^{\frac{1}{4}}(u_0 - u_{0,\varepsilon})\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \varepsilon$ . If we take as initial value  $u_{0,\varepsilon} \in D(A)$ , we have

$$\|\alpha_\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \leq cT^{\frac{3}{4}} \|Au_{0,\varepsilon}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

Therefore, we can find  $T > 0$  such that  $\|\alpha\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} < \frac{1}{4\|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}_T \times \mathcal{E}_T; \mathcal{E}_T)}}$ .  $\square$

#### 4. STRONG SOLUTIONS

Let  $u$  be the mild solution to the Navier-Stokes system. We show in this section that  $u$  in fact satisfies the equations of the Navier-Stokes system in an  $L^p$ -sense (for a suitable  $p$ ). To begin with, we know that  $u \in \mathcal{E}_T$  and satisfies

$$u = \alpha + \Phi(u, u) = \alpha + e^{-\cdot A} * \varphi(u),$$

where  $\varphi(u) = -\mathbb{P}_1((u \cdot \nabla)u)$  and we have  $\|t^{\frac{1}{2}}(u(t) \cdot \nabla)u(t)\|_{\frac{3}{2}} \leq c\|u\|_{\mathcal{E}_T}^2$ . Therefore, we get

$$(4.1) \quad u(0) = \alpha(0) = u_0,$$

$$(4.2) \quad \operatorname{div} u(t) = 0 \text{ in the } L^2 \text{ - sense for } t \in ]0, T[,$$

and

$$u' + Au = f \quad \text{in } \mathcal{C}([0, T[; \mathcal{V}'),$$

which means that for all  $t \in ]0, T[$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_1(u'(t) - \Delta_D^\Omega u(t) + (u(t) \cdot \nabla)u(t)) = 0.$$

Then, by Theorem 2.1, there exists  $(-\pi)(t) \in (\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\Omega))'$  such that  $\nabla \pi(t) \in H^{-1}(\Omega)^3$  and

$$(4.3) \quad \nabla(-\pi)(t) = u'(t) - \Delta_D^\Omega u(t) + (u(t) \cdot \nabla)u(t)$$

and we have for  $0 < t < T$

$$-\Delta_D^\Omega u(t) + \nabla \pi(t) = -u'(t) - (u(t) \cdot \nabla)u(t) \in L^3(\Omega)^3 + L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\Omega)^3.$$

The equation (4.3), together with (4.1) and (4.2), give the usual Navier-Stokes equations which are fulfilled in a strong sense (*a.e.*) where we consider the expression  $-\Delta u + \nabla \pi$  uncoupled.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P. DEURING, W. VON WAHL. Strong solutions of the Navier-Stokes system in Lipschitz bounded domains. *Math. Nachr.*, 171:111–148, 1995.
- [2] H. FUJITA, T. KATO. On the Navier-Stokes initial value problem I. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 16: 269–315, 1964.
- [3] J. LERAY. Sur le mouvement d'un liquide visqueux emplissant l'espace. *Acta Math. J.*, 63:193248, 1934.
- [4] Z. SHEN. Boundary value problems for parabolic Lamé systems and a nonstationary linearized system of Navier-Stokes equations in Lipschitz cylinders. *Amer. J. Math.*, 113:293–373, 1991.

LATP UMR 6632 - CASE COUR A - FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE SAINT-JÉRÔME - UNIVERSITÉ PAUL CÉZANNE (AIX-MARSEILLE 3) - 13397 MARSEILLE CÉDEX 20 - FRANCE  
*E-mail address:* sylvie.monniaux@univ.u-3mrs.fr